Extragalactic jets from the point of view of radio astronomy





Anderson Caproni (NAT/UCS)

XVI IAG/USP Advanced School on Astrophysics Radioastronomy, Galaxies and Clusters at High-z November 4-9, 2012 – Itatiba,SP, Brazil

Outline

- Astrophysical jets: a basic overview
- Extragalactic jets in radio frequencies
- Jet kinematics of parsec-scale radio jets
- Some statistical aspects of parsec-scale radio jets
- Deriving structural parameters of VLBI jet components
- Final remarks

- What is an astrophysical jet???
- Basically, a stream of particles. According to Bridle & igodotPerley (1984), to be termed jet, the candidate must be:
 - at least four times as long as it is wide; i.



Credit: NASA and The Hubble Heritage Team (STScI/AURA)

- According to Bridle & Perley (1984), to be termed jet, the candidate must be:
 - ii. separable at high resolution from other standard structures either spatially or by brightness contrast;



- According to Bridle & Perley (1984), to be termed jet, the candidate must be:
 - iii. aligned with the compact core when the former is close to it;



- Let me include an extra item:
 - iv. to be termed jet, the candidate must be pointing toward an hypothetical observer; otherwise, it is a counter-jet.





- A plenty of models (e.g., Blandford & Znajek 1977, Blandford & Payne 1982; Shu et al. 1994; Livio et al. 2003; de Gouveia dal Pino & Lazarian 2005);
- Basic ingredients behind jet formation:
 - Accretion disc;
 - Central object dominating the dynamics of the disc;
 - Large scale magnetic field;
 - ➢ BH rotation (???).



Marcowith, Henri & Pelletier (1995)

• Jet is not necessarily collimated at all scales;

Jet collimation process in M87 (Junor, Biretta & Livio 1999):



- Let us concentrate our discussion on extragalactic jets...
- Where can these jets be found???

Basically in radio-loud AGNs!



For a general review on unified model for radio-loud sources, see Urry & Padovani (1995).



- A (very) brief historical timeline about extragalactic jets...
- First noted observationally in M87 (Curtis 1918) and analyzed later by Baade & Minkowski (1954);
- At radio frequencies:



- ➢ Jet-like feature detected by Hazard et al. (1963) using lunar occultation method (two components – core and jet – separated by ~20");
- Detection of two components in M87 by Miley et al. (1970): one coinciding with the optical nucleus, while the other with the tip of the optical jet;
- ➢ First detection of superluminal motions in parsec-scale jets obtained by Whitney et al. (1971) and Cohen et al. (1971).

 Kiloparsec-scale jets may be detected at different wavelengths (e.g., Lelievre et al. 2004; Jester et al. 2007; Worrall 2009):

But this is not the rule!!!

(less than 20 extragalactic jets has optical counterpart detected)

Why?

As they are associated to powerful radio sources, relativistic beaming may be playing a significant role on detection (e.g., Scarpa & Urry 2002; Jester 2003).



(STScI), Optical: NASA/STScI/UMBC/E.Perlman et al

Typical radio morphology of a kiloparsec-scale jet



But it can be much more complex: morphology dependence with scale



- How obtain radio maps of extragalactic jets at kpc-scale?
- Single dish observation???

No! Poor angular resolution...

$$\theta = 1,22 \frac{\lambda}{D}$$
 rad

e.g., for $\lambda = 1.36$ cm (22 GHz) and D = 13.7 m, $\theta \sim 4$ ' (worse than typical human eye's resolution)

- What to do?
- Array of telescopes...

















Very Large Array (VLA)

- How obtain radio maps of extragalactic jets at pc-scale?
- Array of radio telescopes separated at continental distances: Very Large Baseline Interferometry (VLBI).



Very Large Baseline Array (VLBA)

- Proper motion (μ):
 - Core-component angular separation as a function of time;
 - Unit (in VLBI): mas/ano;





- Apparent speed(β_{app}):
 - Source distance must be known;
 - Some cosmology must be assumed a prior;

$$\beta_{\rm app} = \frac{D_{\rm L}}{\left(1+z\right)} \frac{\mu}{c}$$

• Another example: the quasar 3C 279.



 3C 279 is one of the AGNs that exhibits superluminal motions in its jet.

- Superluminal motions:
 - \succ First detected in quasars 3C 273 e 3C 279 (Whitney et al. 1971; Cohen et al. 1977; Cotton et al. 1979);



four months $\Rightarrow \beta_{app} = v/c = 10 \pm 3!$

- Superluminal motions:
 - Detected in several objects later;
 - Typical apparent speeds range from 3 e 10*c*, reaching ~50*c* (Lister et al. 2009);
 - > Reason: component moving close to l.o.s. with $v \sim c$ (Rees 1966);
 - > Component ejected at time $t_0=0$;
 - Emitted radiation at t_0 is detected by an observer at a luminosity distance D_L after an interval Δt :

$$\Delta t = t_1 - t_0 = \frac{D_{\rm L}}{c}$$



 Component recedes a distance (from the core) of VΔt' after an interval Δt', producing a projected displacement on the plane of the sky:

 $L_{\rm proj} = V \Delta t' \sin \phi$

• Radiation emitted after $\Delta t'$ will be detected by the observer at instant

$$t_2$$
:
 $t_2 = \Delta t' + \frac{\left(D_{\rm L} - V\Delta t'\cos\phi\right)}{\rm c}$

• The elapsed time between t_2 e t_1 corresponds to:

$$\Delta t = t_2 - t_1 = \Delta t' (1 - \beta \cos \phi)$$



 Thus, the apparent speed of the jet knot is given as:

$$\beta_{\rm app} = \frac{L_{\rm proj}}{c\Delta t} = \frac{\beta\sin\phi}{\left(1 - \beta\cos\phi\right)}$$

• Other consequences of relativistic motions are the beaming and Doppler boosting effects (e.g, Rybicki & Lightman 2004): $\theta \sim$ $v \ll c$ **Relativistic case** $\gamma = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2} \equiv \text{Lorentz factor}$ Non-relativistic case $\uparrow v \Rightarrow \uparrow \gamma \Rightarrow \downarrow \theta \Rightarrow$ Beaming $\delta = \frac{1}{\gamma(1 - \beta \cos \phi)} =$ Doppler boosting factor $\uparrow v \Rightarrow \uparrow \gamma \Rightarrow \uparrow \delta \Rightarrow$ Doppler boosting $p = 2 + \alpha$ (continuous jet) $p = 3 + \alpha$ (clumpy jet) • Blandford & Lind (1985): $S_{obs} = S_0 \delta^p$ $S_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$

Some statistical aspects of parsec-scale radio jets

- MOnitoring of Jets in Active Galactic Nuclei with VLBA Experiments (MOJAVE) (e.g., Lister et al. 2009):
 - ➢ To investigate the pc-scale jet kinematics of a complete fluxdensity-limited sample of 135 AGNs in the northern sky at 2 cm;
 - Analyzed data set spans 13 years of observations since 1994 (observations are still ongoing);
 - > Kinematical parameters of jet knots derived from (u,v)-plane, assuming two-dimensional Gaussian shape for them (mainly elliptical Gaussians for core and circular ones for components);
- Important! MOJAVE team provides a public archive of images and other products related to those jets (http://www.physics.purdue.edu/MOJAVE/index.html).

Some statistical aspects of parsec-scale radio jets

• Some specific results concerning jet kinematic (Lister et al. 2009):



Low pattern speed knots located mostly inside 8 pc from the core.

Standing shocks in the flow or low viewing angle effect?

Figure 5. Distribution of projected distance from the core for LPS jet features in the MOJAVE sample.

Some statistical aspects of parsec-scale radio jets

• Some specific results concerning jet kinematic (Lister et al.

Unshaded: all robust jet features 200 Shaded: fastest robust feature in each jet 50.6±2.1c 150 Ouasar 0805-077 N per bin (z=1.817; White et al. 1988) Peaked at ~10c 100 50 50 203040 10 Apparent speed (c)

See also Piner et al. (2012)

2009):

Figure 7. Distribution of apparent speed for 502 robust jet features in MOJAVE AGN with measured redshifts. The shaded histogram represents the distribution of the fastest robust feature in each jet.

- Regular model fitting tasks used in the analyses of interferometric images suffer from some limitations:
 - \succ Impossibility to fit simultaneously more than 4 components;
 - Gradient-based fitting methods (prone to find a local minimum solution if we do not choose appropriately the initial parameters);
 - Depend on initial guess for the model parameter values;
 - Number of components is not constrained by the own fitting procedure.
- How to overcome such limitations?
- Cross-entropy method for continuous multi-extremal optimization (Rubenstein 1999; Kroese et al. 2006; Caproni et al. 2009; Monteiro et al. 2010, 2011, Caproni et al. 2011, 2012);

- Caproni et al. (2011, ApJ, 736, 68):
 - CE model fitting implemented to work out in the image plane;
 - Shape of the jet knots modeled as 2D elliptical Gaussian sources;
 - ✓ Why? Dirty beam usually has elliptical shape (convolution between elliptical 2D Gaussian and punctual source \Rightarrow 2D elliptical source);
 - ✓ Gaussian parameters: intensity (I_0) and center coordinates (x_0 and y_0) of the peak, major axis (a), eccentricity [$\varepsilon = (1-b^2/a^2)^{1/2}$] and



(Vermeulen et al. 2006, A&A, 447, 489)



 $I(x, y) = I_0 e^{-1/2 \left\{ a^{-2} \left[(x - x_0) \cos \psi + (y - y_0) \sin \psi \right]^2 + b^{-2} \left[-(x - x_0) \sin \psi + (y - y_0) \cos \psi \right]^2 \right\}}$

- If there are N_s sources in an image with N_{pixel} pixels, there are $6N_s$ parameters to be determined;
- How is it done via CE technique?
 - i. Choice of the upper and lower limits for the tentative values of the Gaussian parameters, keeping them fixed during optimization processes;

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\min} &\leq x_i \leq x_{\max} \\ y_{\min} &\leq y_i \leq y_{\max} \\ a_{\min} &\leq a_i \leq a_{\max} \\ \varepsilon_{\min} &\leq \varepsilon_i \leq \varepsilon_{\max} \\ \psi_{\min} &\leq \psi_i \leq \psi_{\max} \\ I_{0_{\min}} &\leq I_{0_i} \leq I_{0_{\max}} \\ & \left(1 \leq i \leq N_s\right) \end{aligned}$$

considering just one source! \Rightarrow

$$\mathbf{X}_{ij}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & a_1 & \varepsilon_1 & \psi_1 & I_{0_1} \\ x_2 & y_2 & a_2 & \varepsilon_2 & \psi_2 & I_{0_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{N-1} & y_{N-1} & a_{N-1} & \varepsilon_{N-1} & \psi_{N-1} & I_{0_{N-1}} \\ x_N & y_N & a_N & \varepsilon_N & \psi_N & I_{0_N} \end{pmatrix}$$

iii. Selection of the N_{elite} -best sample based on the minimization of the merit function S_{prod} among Ntentative solutions above:

$$S_{prod}(k) = \overline{R}(k) \times \frac{1}{N_{pixel}} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{N_{pixel}} \left[R_m(k) - \overline{R}(k) \right]^2 \right\},\$$

where:

$$\overline{R}(k) = \frac{1}{N_{pixel}} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{N_{pixel}} R_m(k) \right]$$
$$R_m(k) = \left[I_m - M_m(k) \right]^2$$

Model versus data (observation)

iv. Random generation of updated parameter samples from the previous best N_{elite} candidates to be evaluated in the next iteration k:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{X}_{ij}(k) &= \overline{p}_{j}^{elite}(k-1) + \sigma_{j}^{elite}(k-1)G_{ij}, \\ \text{where :} \\ \overline{p}_{j}^{elite}(k-1) &= \frac{1}{N_{elite}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{elite}} \mathbf{X}_{ij}^{elite}(k-1); \\ \sigma_{j}^{elite}(k-1) &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{(N_{elite}-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{elite}} \left[\mathbf{X}_{ij}^{elite}(k-1) - \overline{p}_{j}^{elite}(k-1)\right]^{2}}; \\ G_{ij} &\equiv \text{ is matrix with random numbers generated from a zero- mean normal distribution with standard deviation of unity.} \end{split}$$

v. Optimization process repeats Steps (ii) and (iv) while, e.g., $k \le k_{max}$.

- Some remarks concerning CE:
 - It is a heuristic method (no proof on convergence for continuous optimizations);
 - Therefore, it needs (a lot of!) validation tests to assure that convergence to the optimal solutions can be reached:
 - ✓ Influence of the CE parameters (e.g, N, N_{elite} , etc.);
 - ✓ Stopping optimization criteria (maximum iteration, RMS, etc.);
 - \checkmark (The most suitable) merit function;
- A lot of computational work to obtain trustful results...



• Validation tests can be found in Caproni et al. (2011).

- A quiz (to check if you are not sleeping)... ☺
- How many source components are present in the following image?
- Answer: three sources.
- Indeed, it is difficult to assure this from visual inspection only...



- Validation test J1:
 - Three sources;
 - Brightest component (C1): 2 Jy/beam (~3883.RMS);
 - Dimmest source (C3): 0.2 Jy/beam (~388·RMS);
 - Eccentricity varies from 0.7 to 0.9;
 - Some degree of superposition between C3 and C2 (~583·RMS);
 - > 18 parameters to be optimized!
 - > N = 3645 and N_{elite} = 20.



• Validation test J1:



Validation test J1: RMS level reached!!!



Optimized image

Three sources (J1 jet) – Caproni et al. (2011)



More than 3 sources do not improve the fit!

• Validation test J7:



- Seven sources;
- Brightest component (C1): 0.85
 Jy/beam (~1836·RMS);
- Dimmest source (C7): 20 mJy/beam (~43.RMS);
- Eccentricity varies from 0.25 to 0.8;
- High degree of superposition:
 - between C1 and C2 (~713·RMS);
 - C6 (~173·RMS) with C7 and C5 (~216·RMS);
- Some degree of superposition between C3 (~108·RMS) and C4 (~432·RMS);
- ➤ 42 parameters to be optimized!

 \blacktriangleright N = 22050 and N_{elite} = 20.

• Validation test J7:

• Validation test J7: RMS level reached!!!



Final remarks

- Astrophysical jets:
 - Found in several objects in the Universe;
 - Formation is not fully understood yet;
 - ➢ Impact on ISM (IGM): AGN feedback, X-ray bubbles, etc.
- Extragalactic jets:
 - Few detected in optical or X-ray wavelengths;
 - Generally associated to radio-loud AGNs;
 - Relativistic flows at parsec-scale (even at kiloparsec-scales in some cases);
 - Beaming and Doppler-boosting effects;
- Jet kinematic studies at parsec-scale:
 - > VLBI studies: necessity of good coverage in (u,v)-plane, as well as time monitoring;
 - (Traditional) model fitting:
 - ✓ Dependence on number of components assumed in model-fitting;
 - ✓ Limitation in the number of components fitted simultaneously;
 - CE technique:
 - ✓ Able to recover the parameters of the sources with a similar accuracy to that obtained from the traditional AIPS task IMFIT when the image is relatively simple;
 - ✓ Presents superior performance for more complex images;
 - ✓ Quantitative estimate of the number of individual components present in a VLBI image;