



Deep Learning Applications in Astronomy

Clécio R. Bom



clearnightsrthebest.com

debom@cbpf.br

February 11, 2018

Program

Lecture 01

Introdução ao aprendizado de máquina Algoritmo Backpropagation Redes Multi-Layer Perceptron Convolutional Neural Network.

Lecture 02

Training and convergence Quality checks AlexNet,VGG Inception Resnet

Lecture 03

Auto Encoders. Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN).

Lecture 04

Region Based Convolutional Neural Networks (R-CNN). Long-Short Term Memory (LSTM) Reinforcement Learning You will need:

-> Python (anaconda3)

-> Keras

-> Tensorflow

-> matplotlib

-> numpy

For the examples you will also need:

-> astropy

Google colabs (recommended)



General Announcements

We will have several do-yourself examples in google collabs or in python notebooks. The examples and datasets required can be downloaded in

clearnightsrthebest.com

We have two tutors: - Luciana Olivia Dias, MSC. - Patrick Schubert.



They will be available from 13h-15h (1 p.m. - 3 p.m.). They can help with the examples.

Why go deep?







There is just too many data to analize (not enough woman/man power) Automatize (lazyness? highter productivity) Get intuitions, find patterns never seen before If you like the idea of world ruled by robots I want to make (tons of) money (outside academia) and live by the beach







How Deep (and Shallow) Learning works

main Strategies : Supervised or Unsupervised

Common applications: Classification, Regression

Semantic segmentation, data simulations, image enhancement, beat humans in games Caveats: data hungry, not self-explanatory, "unhuman" errors, very specialized. Would they ever be like humans? Shall I tell my lazy uncle to start a campaign against robots?

57.7% confidence





99.3% confidence

RCNNs and Semantic Segmentation

The Tradicional CNNs are build to run on same size images only.

This is an issue in many real life applications.

So, we need region proposals.





Adapted from: arXiv:1504.08083v2 Girshick et al 2015.

Classification: CNNs for Strong Lensing Detection

The authors trained and validate the model on a set of 20,000 LSST-like mock observations including a range of lensed systems of various sizes and signal-to-noise ratios (S/N).







Regression: CNNs for Photo-z in SDSS

Competitive photo-zs using cut-outs arXiv:1806.06607 CNN vs K-NN fitting







Image Enhancement : Generative Adversarial Neural Networks

First proposed by Goodfellow et al. 2014 arXiv:1406.2661

GAN has been exploited to restore images, simulate images.

Schawinski et al. 2017 used to deconvolve images beyond the deconvolution limit (arXiv:1702.00403v1).





Data Simulations: Generative Adversarial Neural Networks

First proposed by Goodfellow et al. 2014 arXiv:1406.2661

GAN has been exploited to restore images, simulate images.

Mustafa et al. 2017 claims that can use GAN to simulate weak lensing convergence maps (arXiv:1706.02390v1).



How sophisticated/hard is Deep Learning?





Starting simple...

The most simple start

Developed by Frank Rosenblatt in the 1950s and 1960s Binary output



Starting simple...



Building a NAND Gate





Inp	Input					
А	В	$Y = \overline{A.B}$				
0	0	1				
0	1	1				
1	0	1				
1	1	0				

$$ext{output} = egin{cases} 0 & ext{if} \, w \cdot x + b \leq 0 \ 1 & ext{if} \, w \cdot x + b > 0 \end{cases}$$

Cool, but ...

Easily gets lots of parameters, particularly if everything is connected to everything. Small variations in the neurons can have strong impact in the output.



Sigmoid Activation

$$\sigma(z)\equiv rac{1}{1+e^{-z}}.
onumber \ rac{1}{1+\exp(-\sum_j w_j x_j-b)}.$$



Gradient Descendent / How to optimize this!

Problem : find Θ that minimizes the cost

Let the Cost function $J(\Theta) = \Theta^2$ let's start with $\Theta = 3$ After each iteration we use the update rule:



$$\alpha = 0.1, \qquad \frac{d}{d\theta}J(\theta) = 2\theta$$

Gradient Descendent / How to optimize this!

Problem : find Θ that minimizes the cost



Adapted from: mccormickml.com/2014/03/04/gradient-descent-derivation/

Gradient Descendent / How to optimize this!

Linear model: $h(x) = \Theta_0 + \Theta_1 * x$.

Cost Function - "One Half Mean Squared Error":

$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$$



Objective:

$$\min_{\theta_0,\,\theta_1} J(\theta_0,\,\theta_1)$$

Update rules:

$$\theta_0 \coloneqq \theta_0 - \alpha \frac{d}{d\theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$
$$\theta_1 \coloneqq \theta_1 - \alpha \frac{d}{d\theta_1} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

Derivatives:

$$\frac{d}{d\theta_0}J(\theta_0,\theta_1) = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m \left(h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}\right)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta_1}J(\theta_0,\theta_1) = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m \left(h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}\right) \cdot x^{(i)}$$

How Neural Nets can perform better than linear regression?

Neural networks can in principle model nonlinearities automatically, which you would need to explicitly model using transformations (for instance splines etc.) in linear regression.

The <u>universal approximation theorem</u> states that a feed-forward dense network with a single hidden layer containing a finite number of neurons can approximate continuous functions on compact subsets of R_n , with a few assumptions on the activation. Thus simple neural networks can represent a wide variety of functions when given appropriate parameters. However, <u>it does not say a word about</u> <u>learnability of those parameters</u>.

Let's Backpropagate



Example adapted from: mattmazur.com/2015/03/17/a-step-by-step-backpropagation-example/

Let's Backpropagate



Moving forward

Here's how we calculate the total net input for h_1 :

 $net_{h1} = w_1 * i_1 + w_2 * i_2 + b_1 * 1$

 $net_{h1} = 0.15 * 0.05 + 0.2 * 0.1 + 0.35 * 1 = 0.3775$

We then squash it using the logistic function to get the output of h_1 :

 $out_{h1} = \frac{1}{1+e^{-net_{h1}}} = \frac{1}{1+e^{-0.3775}} = 0.593269992$

Carrying out the same process for h_2 we get:

 $out_{h2} = 0.596884378$

Moving forward

Here's the output for o1:

$$net_{o1} = w_5 * out_{h1} + w_6 * out_{h2} + b_2 * 1$$

 $net_{o1} = 0.4 * 0.593269992 + 0.45 * 0.596884378 + 0.6 * 1 = 1.105905967$

 $out_{o1} = \frac{1}{1+e^{-net_{o1}}} = \frac{1}{1+e^{-1.105905967}} = 0.75136507$

And carrying out the same process for 02 we get:

 $out_{o2} = 0.772928465$

Calculating the Total Error

We can now calculate the error for each output neuron using the <u>squared error</u> <u>function</u> and sum them to get the total error:

 $E_{total} = \sum \frac{1}{2} (target - output)^2$

The total error

 $E_{o1} = \frac{1}{2}(target_{o1} - out_{o1})^2 = \frac{1}{2}(0.01 - 0.75136507)^2 = 0.274811083$

Repeating this process for 02 (remembering that the target is 0.99) we get:

 $E_{o2} = 0.023560026$

The total error for the neural network is the sum of these errors:

 $E_{total} = E_{o1} + E_{o2} = 0.274811083 + 0.023560026 = 0.298371109$

Considering the chain rule ...

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$$

$$E_{total} = \frac{1}{2} (target_{o1} - out_{o1})^2 + \frac{1}{2} (target_{o2} - out_{o2})^2$$

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} = 2 * \frac{1}{2} (target_{o1} - out_{o1})^{2-1} * -1 + 0$$

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} = -(target_{o1} - out_{o1}) = -(0.01 - 0.75136507) = 0.74136507$$

Considering the chain rule ...

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$$

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = -(target_{o1} - out_{o1}) * out_{o1}(1 - out_{o1}) * out_{h1}$$

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = -\delta_{o1}out_{h1}$$

Moving backwards !

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$$

Updating the weights

 $w_5^+ = w_5 - \eta * \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = 0.4 - 0.5 * 0.082167041 = 0.35891648$

Let's play lego!



Convolutional Neural Networks

What makes CNNs so special?

- Based on mammal visual cortex
- Extract **surrounding-depending** high-order features.
- Specially useful for
 - Images
 - Time-dependent parameters (Speech recognition, Signal analysis)



Types of Layers on CNNs

ConvLayers

- Based on Convolution
- Linear Operators
- Automatic (Visual) Feature Extractors.
- Change size of input data.

Activation Layers

- Add non-linearity
- Commonly follow each ConvLayer.
- Easy to implement & FAST to execute.







-	-			1	
0	0	0	30	0	0
0	0	30	0	0	0
0	0	30	0	0	0
0	0	30	0	0	0
0	0	30	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 30 0 0 30 0 0 30 0 0 30 0 0 30 0 0 30 0 0 30 0 0 30	0 0 30 0 0 0 30 0 0 0 30 0 0 0 30 0 0 0 30 0 0 0 30 0 0 0 30 0 0 0 30 0	0 0 30 0 0 0 0 30 0 0 0 0 30 0 0 0 0 30 0 0 0 0 30 0 0 0 0 30 0 0 0 0 30 0 0 0 0 30 0 0

Pixel representation of filter



Visualization of a curve detector filter







0	0		0	0	Γ
0	0	1	0	0	╞
0	0		0	0	┢
0	0	*	0	0	t
0	0		0	0	t
0	0		0	0	t
0	0]	0	0	t

*

0	0	0	0	0	30	0
0	0	0	0	30	0	0
0	0	0	30	0	0	0
0	0	0	30	0	0	0
0	0	0	30	0	0	0
0	0	0	30	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Visualization of the filter on the image

Pixel representation of receptive field

Pixel representation of filter

Multiplication and Summation = 0



0	0	0	0	0	0	30
0	0	0	0	50	50	50
0	0	0	20	50	0	0
0	0	0	50	50	0	0
0	0	0	50	50	0	0
0	0	0	50	50	0	0
0	0	0	50	50	0	0

Visualization of the receptive field

Pixel representation of the receptive field

Pixel representation of filter

Multiplication and Summation = (50*30)+(50*30)+(50*30)+(20*30)+(50*30) = 6600 (A large number!)

Going Back to Convolution....

Stride and Padding

7 x 7 Input Volume



5 x 5 Output Volume



7 x 7 Input Volume



3 x 3 Output Volume



0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0								0
0	0	1 F						0	0
0	0	1							0
0	0	32 x 32 x 3 0						0	0
0	0	1						0	0
0	0	1						0	0
0	0	1							
0	0	1						0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

36

36

Dropout and Pooling



0.3	0.2	1.5	0.0	10000	0.0	0.2	1.5	0.0
0.6	0.1	0.0	0.3	50% dropout	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.3
0.2	1.9	0.3	1.2		0.0	1.9	0.3	0.0
0.7	0.5	1.0	0.0		0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0

Example of Maxpool with a 2x2 filter and a stride of 2

Adapted from : https://ml-cheatsheet.readthedocs.io/en/latest/layers.html

The simplest example I know

from keras.datasets import mnist from keras.layers import Dense, Flatten, Conv2D, MaxPooling2D from keras.models import Sequential

The simplest example I know

```
batch size = 128
num classes = 10
epochs = 10
# input image dimensions
img x, img y = 28, 28
# load the MNIST data set, which already splits into train and test sets
for us
(x train, y train), (x test, y test) = mnist.load data()
model.fit(x train, y train,
          batch size=batch size,
          epochs=epochs,
          verbose=1,
          validation data=(x test, y test),
          callbacks=[history])
score = model.evaluate(x test, y test, verbose=0)
```

Classification Example: Strong Lensing Challenge

The Challenge:

Classify 200k images, between real data and simulations, in 48 hours for each of the two types (multiband or single band).

To test the algorithm we have 20k simulated images which contains all sorts of problems in the imaging system.

Each team developed different algorithms, mostly based in CNNs.

Metcalf et al.





Sorted by TPR₀ - The True Positive Rate with 0 mistakes

#	#	Team_name_submi	it typ	e AURO	C TPR	O TPR	10	description_shor	t author.1
#	7	resnet_5d0aad0	Space-Based	0.9225303	2.206807e-01	0.2904204271	L.	CNN	Francois Lanusse
#	14	GAMOCLASS	Space-Based	0.9210117	7.416406e-02	0.3570444584	1	DL / CNN	Marc Huertas-Company
ŧ	20	CAST-SB	Space-Based	0.8128851	6.909326e-02	0.1186942145	5	CNN	Clecio Roque De Bom
ŧ	25	All-now	Space-Based	0.7346352	4.900040e-02	0.0659031548	edges/gradiants	and Logistic Reg.	Camille Avestruz
ŧ	16	Philippa Hartley	Space-Based	0.8012731	2.934848e-02	0.0717323859		SVM / Gabor	Philippa Hartley
ŧ	17	Philippa Hartley2	Space-Based	0.8092423	2.859788e-02	0.0812650120		SVM / Gabor	Philippa Hartley
	4	Manchester1	Space-Based	0.8101726	7.354597e-03	0.1739837398	3	Human Inspection	Neal Jackson
ŧ	15	LASTRO EPFL (13b)	Space-Based	0.9325338	4.773626e-03	0.077969220	L	CNN	Mario Geiger
ŧ	2	GAHEC IRAP 1	Space-Based	0.6580909	1.127113e-03	0.0090920476	5	arc finder	R Cabanac
ŧ	1	space	Space-Based	0.9143197	6.755404e-04	0.0127852282	2	CNN	Emmanuel Bertin
	31	CNN_kapteyn	Space-Based	0.8179482	1.000625e-04	0.000200125	L N	CNN	Enrico Petrillo
ŧ	18	res_bottleneck_87b7e8a	Space-Based	0.9068996	7.506005e-05	0.0038030424	1	CNN	Eric Ma
	5	CMU-DeepLens-Resnet-Voting	Space-Based	0.9145407	0.00000e+00	0.0082046692	2	CNN	Quanbin Ma
ŧ	11	Attempt2	Space-Based	0.7626792	0.00000e+00	0.0008265498	3	CNN / wavelets	Andrew Davies
ŧ	10	YattaLensLite	Space-Based	0.7622929	0.00000e+00	0.0003502802	2	Arcs / SExtractor	Alessandro Sonnenfeld
		Team_name_submit	type	AUROC	TPRO	TPR10		description_short	author.1
	8	Philippa Hartley2	Ground-Based	0.9310191	2.237273e-01	0.3453159911		SVM / Gabor	Philippa Hartley
	6	Philippa Hartley	Ground-Based	0.9293543	2.123763e-01	0.3316908714	1	SVM / Gabor	Philippa Hartley
	13	resnet_ground_7bf8089	Ground-Based	0.9814321	8.993713e-02	0.4534297041		CNN	Francois Lanusse
	19	LASTRO EPFL (111)	Ground-Based	0.9749255	7.493794e-02	0.1131977256	5	CNN	Mario Geiger
	9	CMU-DeepLens-Resnet-Voting	Ground-Based	0.9804913	2.445130e-02	0.1027314963	3	CNN	Quanbin Ma
	3	All-star	Ground-Based	0.8365358	7.181615e-03	0.0186123524	edges/gradiants	and Logistic Reg.	Camille Avestruz
	26	Manchester-NA2	Ground-Based	0.8913778	2.803645e-04	0.0075297887		Human Inspection	Neal Jackson
	27	Manchester-NA2	Ground-Based	0.8913778	2.803645e-04	0.0075297887	r -	Human Inspection	Neal Jackson
	28	Manchester-NA2-Submission2	Ground-Based	0.8913778	2.803645e-04	0.0075297887	·	Human Inspection	Neal Jackson
	29	Manchester-NA2-Submission2	Ground-Based	0.8913778	2.803645e-04	0.0075297887	·	Human Inspection	Neal Jackson
	30	YattaLensLite	Ground-Based	0.8191702	2.194382e-04	0.0021145867	r	SExtractor	Alessandro Sonnenfeld
	12	CAST-GB	Ground-Based	0.8347916	2.005535e-05	0.0003810517	ť.	CNN / SVM	Clecio Roque De Bom
	21	Ground	Ground-Based	0.9557059	0.00000e+00	0.0071018193	3	CNN	Emmanuel Bertin
	22	Ground	Ground-Based	0.9557059	0.000000e+00	0.0071018193	3	CNN	Emmanuel Bertin
	23	Ground_fixed	Ground-Based	0.9557059	0.000000e+00	0.0071018193	3		1
	24	Ground_fixed	Ground-Based	0.9557059	0.00000e+00	0.0071018193	3		1
		Metcalf et a	I.				The Bronze		BRD
		2018				I	medal		18000
		arXiv:1802.0	J3609						

Example 1 - Lens Detect

Project Colab LensDetectNet

Convolutional Neural Network to Detect Lens in Image .fits



Example 1 - Lens Detect

Project Colab LensDetectNet

Convolutional Neural Network to Detect Lens in Image .fits



Total params: 49,714,696 Trainable params: 49,712,008 Non-trainable params: 2,688





Deep Learning Applications in Astronomy

Clécio R. Bom



clearnightsrthebest.com

debom@cbpf.br

February 11, 2018