

Universidade Federal do ABC

QUARK DECONFINEMENT IN PROTONEUTRON STARS CORES: ANALYSIS WITHIN THE MIT BAG MODEL

Taiza A. Sauer do Carmo¹ and Germán Lugones¹



transition

of the

1. Universidade Federal do ABC, Centro de Ciências Naturais e Humanas, Brazil.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is currently a matter of speculation the actual occurrence of quark matter during protoneutron stars indicates that these objects are formed as consequence of the gravitational collapse and supernova explosion of a massive star. Initially, PNSs are very hot and lepton rich objects, where neutrinos are temporarily trapped. During the first tens of seconds of evolution the PNS evolves to form a cold (T < 10¹⁰ K) catalyzed neutron star. As neutrinos are radiated, the lepton - per - baryon content of matter goes down and the neutrino chemical potential tends to essentially zero in 50 seconds. Deleptonization is fundamental for quark matter formation inside neutron stars, since it has been shown that the presence of trapped neutrinos in hadronic matter strongly disfavors the deconfinement transition to be higher than in the case of neutrino-free hadronic matter. As a consequence, the transition could be delayed several seconds after the bounce of the stellar core. When color superconductivity is included together with flavor conservation, the most likely configuration of the just deconfined phase is 2SC provided the pairing gap is large enough. The relevance of this 2SC intermediate phase (a kind of activation barrier) has been analyzed for deleptonized neutron stars but not for hot and lepton-rich objects like PNSs. In the present paper we shall analyze the deconfinement transition in protoneutron star conditions employing the MIT Bag model in the description of quark matter. For simplicity, the analysis will be made in bulk, i.e. without taking into account the energy cost due to finite size effects in creating a drop of deconfined quark matter in the hadronic environment.

(1)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

II. THE HADRONIC PHASE

IV. RESULTS GM1nh NL3 80 80 FIG. 1. The hadron-matter mass-B160 70 B80 B160 Δ. = 100 MeV — д. = 100 MeV 70 at which the enerav densitv unpaired case unpaired case deconfinement phase 60 60 μ_ = 0 MeV $\mu_{a} = 0 \text{ MeV}$ function 50 50 Density is given in (MeV) the nuclear saturation 40 40 density ρ_0 (~ 2.7 x10¹⁴ g/cm³). The 30 30 results are shown for quark matter without pairing (dotted lines) and 20 20 for color superconducting quark 10 10

For the hadronic phase we shall use a model based on a relativistic Lagrangian of hadrons interacting via the exchange of σ , ρ , and ω mesons: a non-linear Walecka model (NLWM) which includes the whole baryon octet, electrons and electron neutrinos in equilibrium under weak interactions.

 \Box The Lagrangian of the model is given by: $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_R + \mathcal{L}_M + \mathcal{L}_L$

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{B} \bar{\psi}_{B} \left[i\gamma_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} - m_{B} + g_{\sigma B} \sigma - g_{\omega B} \gamma_{\mu} \omega^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\rho B} \gamma_{\mu} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\rho}^{\mu} \right] \psi_{B} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} \sigma \partial^{\mu} \sigma - m_{\sigma}^{2} \sigma^{2} \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_{\omega}^{2} \omega_{\mu} \omega^{\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \vec{\rho}_{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{\rho}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_{\rho}^{2} \vec{\rho}_{\mu} \cdot \vec{\rho}^{\mu} - \frac{b}{3} m_{n} (g_{\sigma} \sigma)^{3} - \frac{c}{4} (g_{\sigma} \sigma)^{4}$$

$$+ \sum_{L} \bar{\psi}_{L} (i\gamma_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} - m_{L}) \psi_{L},$$

where the indices B, M and L refer to baryons, mesons and leptons respectively, with B = n, p, Λ , Σ^+ , Σ^0 , Σ^- , Ξ^- , and Ξ^0 . The coupling constants are $g_{\sigma B} = x_{\sigma B} g_{\sigma'} g_{\omega B} = x_{\omega B} g_{\omega}$ and $g_{\rho B} = x_{\rho B} g_{\rho}$. The ratios $x_{\sigma B}$, $x_{\omega B}$ and $x_{\rho B}$ are equal to 1 for the nucleons and acquire different values for the other baryons depending on the parametrization (TABLE I).

Label	$(g_{\sigma}/m_{\sigma})^2$	$(g_{\omega}/m_{\omega})^2$	$\left(g_{\rho}/m_{\rho}\right)^2$	b	с	M _{max}
GM1	11.79 fm ²	7.149 fm ²	4.411 fm ²	0.002947	-0.001070	$1.78 \ M_{\odot}$
GM1nh	11.79 fm ²	7.149 fm ²	4.411 fm ²	0.002947	-0.001070	$2.32 \ M_{\odot}$
NL3	15.8 fm ²	10.51 fm ²	5.35 fm ²	0.002052	-0.002651	$1.95 \ M_{\odot}$

TABLE I. Parameters of the hadronic equation of state. For each parametrization we give the maximum mass M_{max} of a hadronic star.

III. THE QUARK MATTER PHASE

The quark phase is composed by u, d, and s quarks, electrons, electron neutrinos and the corresponding antiparticles. We describe this phase by means of the MIT bag model at finite temperature with zero strong coupling constant, zero *u* and *d* quark masses and strange quark mass $m_s = 150$ MeV.

(2) $\Omega = \Omega_0 + \Omega_L + B$ □ The *total thermodynamic potential* can be written as: where the indexes Q and L refer respectively to quarks and leptons. The contribution of quarks is given by $\Omega_{Q} = \sum \Omega_{cf}$, being f = u, d, s the flavor index and c = r, g, b the color index.

$$\Box \text{ For } \textit{free unpaired quarks} \text{ we employ: } \Omega_{cf} = -\frac{\gamma T}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty k^2 \ln\left[1 + e^{-\frac{\left(E_{cf} - \mu_{cf}\right)}{T}}\right] dk$$
where $E_{cf} = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2}$.

$$\Box \text{ For paired quarks we use the expression:} \quad \Omega_{cf} = -\frac{\gamma T}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty k^2 \ln\left[1 + e^{-\frac{cf}{T}}\right] dk \qquad (1 + e^{-\frac{cf}{T}}) dk$$

being $\varepsilon_{cf} = \pm \sqrt{(E_{cf} - \mu_{cf})^2 + \Delta^2}$ the single-particle energy dispersion relation when it acquires an energy gap Δ .





 $\Delta(T) = \Delta_{0} / 1 -$ The temperature dependence of the gap parameter is given by:

where the critical temperature for the 2SC phase is: $T_c = 0.57\Delta_0$.

IV. DECONFINEMENT TRANSITION IN PROTONEUTRON STARS

The flavor composition of hadronic matter in β -equilibrium is different from that of a β -stable quark-matter drop. Roughly speaking, the direct formation of a β-stable quark-drop with N quarks will need the almost simultaneous conversion of N/3 up and down quarks into strange quarks, a process which is strongly suppressed with respect to the formation of a non β -stable drop by a factor ~ $G^{2N/3}_{Fermi}$. For typical values of the critical-size β -stable drop (N ~100 - 1000) the suppression factor is actually tiny. Thus, quark flavor must be conserved during the deconfinement transition.



In order to determine the transition conditions, we apply the Gibbs criteria, i.e. we assume that deconfinement will occur when the pressure and Gibbs energy per baryon are the same for both hadronic matter and quark matter at a given common temperature. Thus, we have,

Pressure equilibrium: $P^{H}(T^{H}, \mu_{p}, \mu_{\nu_{e}}^{H}) = P^{Q}(T^{Q}, \{\mu_{fc}\}, \mu_{e}^{Q}, \mu_{\nu_{e}}^{Q})$

Chemical equilibrium $g^{H}(T^{H}, \mu_{p}, \mu_{\nu_{e}}^{H}) = g^{Q}(T^{Q}, \{\mu_{fc}\}, \mu_{e}^{Q}, \mu_{\nu_{e}}^{Q})$

Thermal equilibrium: $T^H = T^Q$

V. CONCLUSIONS

□ The expected effects on protoneutron star evolution are as follows:

- when a PNS is formed it is hot and it has a large amount of trapped neutrinos. If color superconductivity were not considered, cooling will increase the transition density while deleptonization will decrease it [1]. Since both effects compete which each other it is possible that the transition is inhibited in the initial moments of the evolution of neutron stars [2];
- when color superconductivity is taken into account, the decrease of temperature decreases the transition density (due to the increase of the pairing gap). Therefore, both cooling and deleptonization of the PNS increase the probability of deconfinement as the PNS evolves.
- \Box The set 1 and set 2 parametrizations of the NJL model employed in Ref. [3] correspond to B = 353 MeV/fm³ and 337 MeV/fm³ respectively. The behavior of the transition density ρ^{H} as a function of T is similar for both models. The results between MIT and NJL models are coincident within a 5%, i.e. very similar in spite of the very different equations of state [4].

VI. REFERENCES

[1] Lugones, G. & Benvenuto, O. G. Phys. Rev. D, **58**, 3001 (1998)







Pairing condition:

 $n_{ur}(T^Q, \mu_{ur}) = n_{dg}(T^Q, \mu_{dg}),$









[3] Lugones, G., do Carmo, T. A. S., Grunfeld, A. G., & Scoccola, N. N. Phys.Rev. D 81, 085012 (2010)

[4] do Carmo, T. A. S., Lugones, G. submitted (2012).