Dark energy constraints using matter density fluctuations

Ana Pelinson

Astronomy Department, IAG/USP



Collaborators:

R. Opher (IAG/USP), J. Solà, J. Grande (Dept. ECM/UB)

I Challenges of New Physics in Space

Campos do Jordão, April 25-30, 2009



Dark energy and the "cosmological constant problem"

Recent observations indicate a "dark energy" $\rho_{\Lambda}^{hoje} \approx 0.7 \rho_c^0 \sim 10^{-121} M_{\rm Pl}^4$.

However, there is a big discrepancy when we compare with $\rho_{vac} = \frac{\Lambda}{(8\pi G)}$, where $M_{Pl} = 1/8\pi G = 2.44 \times 10^{18} GeV$ is the reduced Planck mass.

Actually, if we suppose $M_{\rm SUSY} \sim 10^{16} \, {\rm GeV}$: $\rho_{\rm vac} \simeq M_{\rm SUSY}^4 \sim 10^{-12} M_{\rm Pl}^4$.

∧=constant (∧CDM) problems:

- 1. **Old problem:** Why $\rho_{\Lambda}^{\text{hoje}}$ is so small?
- 2. Coincidence problem: Why $\rho_{\Lambda}^{\text{hoje}}$ has the same order of ρ_{M}^{hoje} ? Why now?

Dark energy models constrained using matter density fluctuations:

- 1. A FLRW model with a running $\Lambda [\Lambda(t)CDM]$;
- 2. The $\Lambda(t)$ CDM with a extra X component [$\Lambda(t)$ XCDM].

Linear dark matter and dark energy densities perturbations

We should consider simultaneous perturbations in:

 $\rho_N \to \rho_N + \delta \rho_N$, $p_N \to p_N + \delta p_N$, $g_{\mu\nu} = g^B_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ $U^{\mu}_N \to U^{\mu}_N + \delta U^{\mu}_N$, with a gauge invariant non adiabatic pressure perturbation:

$$\delta p_D = c_s^2 \delta \rho_D + 3Ha^2 (1 + w_e) \rho_D (c_s^2 - c_a^2) \frac{\theta_D}{k^2}, \qquad (1)$$

Δ

where c_s^2 is the effective and c_a^2 is the adiabatic sound velocity

$$c_a^2\equiv rac{p_D'}{
ho_D'}=w_e-rac{a}{3}rac{w_e'}{(1+w_e)}$$

[H. Kodama, M. Sasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. 1984]

in terms of the dark energy effective equation of state (EOS)

$$w_e \equiv \frac{p_D}{\rho_D}$$

Dark matter and dark energy fluctuations The perturbed equations

$$\begin{split} \delta'_{M} &= -\frac{1}{aH} \left(\theta_{M} - \frac{\hat{h}}{2} \right) \,, \\ \delta'_{D} &= -\frac{(1+w_{e})}{aH} \left\{ \left[1 + \frac{9a^{2}H^{2}(c_{s}^{2} - c_{a}^{2})}{k^{2}} \right] \theta_{D} - \frac{\hat{h}}{2} \right\} - \frac{3}{a} (c_{s}^{2} - w_{e}) \delta_{D} \,, \\ \theta'_{M} &= -\frac{2}{a} \theta_{M} \,, \\ \theta'_{D} &= -\frac{1}{a} \left(2 - 3c_{s}^{2} \right) \theta_{D} + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{3}H} \frac{c_{s}^{2} \delta_{D}}{(1+w_{e})} \,, \\ \hat{h}' &+ \frac{2}{a} \hat{h} - \frac{3H}{a} \tilde{\Omega}_{M} \delta_{M} = \frac{3H}{a} \tilde{\Omega}_{D} \Big[(1 + 3c_{s}^{2}) \delta_{D} + 9a^{2}H (c_{s}^{2} - c_{a}^{2}) \frac{\theta_{D}}{k^{2}} \Big] \,, \\ \end{split}$$
where $\hat{h} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{h_{\mu}}{a^{2}} \right)$ and $\theta_{N} \equiv \nabla_{\mu} (\delta U_{N}^{\mu}) = \nabla_{i} (\delta U_{N}^{i}) \,, diverge for \end{split}$

 $w_e \rightarrow -1(\rho_D \neq const.)$ and $c_a^2 < 0.$

Neglecting dark energy fluctuations $\delta_D \equiv \delta \rho_D / \rho_D \approx 0$

The growth of the matter fluctuations $\mathcal{G}(a) \equiv \delta_M(a)/a$

$$\mathcal{G}''(a) + \left[\frac{7}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{w_e(a) r(a)}{1 + r(a)}\right] \frac{\mathcal{G}'(a)}{a} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{[1 - w_e(a)] r(a)}{1 + r(a)} \frac{\mathcal{G}(a)}{a^2} = 0 \qquad (2)$$

is scale independent (k).

The linear scale independent "bias" parameter

$$b^{2} = P_{GG}/P_{MM} \propto P_{GG}/(\delta \rho_{M}/\rho_{M})^{2}$$
(3)

should be close to 1 when the galaxies had time enough to correlate with the mass distribution of the Universe.

(P_{GG} is given by LSS data and $P_{MM} \propto a^2 \mathcal{G}^2(a)$ is model dependent).

The successful standard Λ CDM model predicts $b_{\Lambda}(0)^2 \simeq 1$ ($\sim 10\%$ accuracy)

[S. Cole et al, MNRAS 2005]

The FLRW model with variable $\Lambda(t)$

The Friedmann equation is given by:

$$H^{2} = \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^{2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3}(\rho_{M} + \rho_{\Lambda}), \qquad (4)$$

where ρ_{Λ} is motivated by quantum field theory

$$\rho_{\Lambda} \equiv \rho_{\Lambda}(t) = \rho_{\Lambda}^{0} + \frac{3\nu}{8\pi} M_{P}^{2} \left(H^{2} - H_{0}^{2} \right) \,. \tag{5}$$

Energy exchange between the vacuum and matter sectors

$$\dot{\rho}_M + \dot{\rho}_\Lambda = -3H\rho_M \,. \tag{6}$$

[I.L. Shapiro, J. Solà, JHEP 2002; PLB 2000]

The dimensionless parameter ν is defined by $\nu \equiv \frac{\sigma}{12 \pi} \frac{M^2}{M_P^2},$ (7)

M is the effective mass of the heavy particles, bosons/fermions ($\sigma = \pm 1$)

$$M = M_{Pl} \to \nu \equiv \nu_0 \simeq 2.6 \times 10^{-2}$$
. (8)

The **AXCDM** model

The total "dark energy" is composed by:

$$\rho_D = \rho_\Lambda + \rho_X, \qquad p_D = p_\Lambda + p_X, \tag{9}$$

where $\rho_{\Lambda} = \rho_{\Lambda}(t)$ e $\rho_X = \rho_X(t)$ is a "cosmon" component. [J. Grande, J. Solà, H. Štefančić, JCAP 2006]

The component X is obtained from the total dark energy conservation law

$$\dot{\rho}_X + \dot{\rho}_\Lambda = -3H(1+w_X)\rho_X, \qquad (10)$$

where w_X is the EOS of the "cosmon"

$$w_X \equiv \frac{p_X}{\rho_X} \,. \tag{11}$$

 w_X is considered in one of the following ranges:

 $-1 < \omega_X < -1/3$ (quintessence-like cosmon) or $\omega_X < -1$ (phantom-like cosmon).

The **AXCDM** and the coincidence problem

The total DE density varies in such way that the ratio $r(a) \equiv \Omega_D / \Omega_M$ can be bounded due to the existence of a maximum



where the Universe stops expanding

$$r(a) = \left[\frac{1 - \Omega_{\Lambda}^{0}}{\Omega_{M}^{0}(1 - \nu)} - \frac{w_{X}}{w_{X} - \epsilon}\right] a^{-3(w_{X} - \epsilon)} + \frac{(\Omega_{\Lambda}^{0} - \nu) a^{3}}{(1 - \nu) \Omega_{M}^{0}} + \frac{\epsilon}{w_{X} - \epsilon}, \quad (12)$$

where $r_{0} = \Omega_{\Lambda}^{0} / \Omega_{M}^{0} = 0.7 / 0.3 \simeq 2.33$ and $\epsilon = \nu(1 + w_{X}).$

Challenges of New Physics in Space

"F-test"

We applied the following test

$$|F| \equiv \left|1 - \frac{b^2(z)}{b^2_{\Lambda}(z)}\right|_{z=0} = \left|1 - \frac{\mathcal{G}^2_{\Lambda}(z)}{\mathcal{G}^2(z)}\right|_{z=0} \le 0.1,$$
(13)

to the $\Lambda(t)$ CDM models, predicting

$$|
u| \lesssim 0.05
u_0 \simeq 10^{-3},$$
 (14)

which is in agreement with different methods and authors.

[J. Grande, R. Opher, A. Pelinson, J. Solà, JCAP 2007]

This condition further constraints the limited 3D region in the parameter space $(\Omega_{\Lambda}^0, w_X, \nu)$ of the Λ XCDM model associated with restrictions of nucleosynthesis plus solution of the coincidence problem.

3D-region in the parameter space $(\Omega^0_{\Lambda}, w_X, \nu)$ for $\Omega^0_M \simeq 0.3$

Constraints using nucleosynthesis plus the two restrictions associated with the solution of the coincidence problem gives:



[J.Grande, J.Solà and H.Štefančić, JCAP 2006]

New 3D-region in the parameter space $(\Omega^0_{\Lambda}, w_X, \nu)$

Volume of points allowed by nucleosynthesis bound, "coincidence problem" conditions, together with the effective EOS restrict to $|1 + \omega_e(0)| \le 0.3$ and the "F-test"



[J. Grande, R. Opher, A. Pelinson, J. Solà, JCAP 2007]

Adiabatic ($c_s^2 = c_a^2 < 0$) and non-adiabatic $0 \le c_s^2 \le 1$) perturbation



The adiabatic perturbations diverge for

$$c_s^2 = c_a^2 = rac{\Omega_X^0}{\Omega_X(a)}(1+b)(\omega_X-\epsilon) \; a^{-3(1+\omega_X-\epsilon)} < 0$$

and when
$$b \equiv -\frac{\nu \Omega_M^0}{(\omega_X - \epsilon) \Omega_X^0} < 0$$
 (at the crossing $w_e(a) = -1$).

Challenges of New Physics in Space

Further constraints in the 3D region of the parameter space



$$\left(1+\textit{w}_e^0
ight)\Omega_D^0 = \left(1+\omega_X
ight)\Omega_X^0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad -1 < \textit{w}_e^0 < -1/3$$
 .

Final conclusion:

The total DE density ρ_D behaves effectively as quintessence.

[J. Grande, A. Pelinson, J. Solà, arXiv:0904.3293]

Matter power spectrum



Conclusions

- If the dark energy is varying in time...
- The matter density perturbations (as well as the dark energy fluctuations) need to be well defined.
- The growth of the matter density fluctuations (as well as the matter power spectrum) need to be constrained.

Thank you!