

FERMION PAIRINGS IN B

I

Vivian de la Incera



University of Texas at El Paso

UI.

111



1000

CSQCDIII Guaruja, December 11-15, 2012



✓ Fermion Pairings, B, & QCD Map

- ✓ Magnetoelectricity of the MCFL Phase
- Quarkyonic Chiral Spirals in B:
 Why B can "love" and "hate" pairing?
- ✓ Looking to the Future

Collaborators: Efrain J. Ferrer, Bo Feng, Angel Sanchez



CURRENT QCD PHASE MAP





CURRENT QCD PHASE MAP





STRONGEST MAGNETIC FIELDS

NEUTRON STARS



OFF-CENTRAL COLLISIONS AT RHIC



Surface of magnetars 10¹⁴-10¹⁵ G
Core of NS, unknown, but could be ~ 10¹⁸- 10¹⁹ G Relativistic collisions create magnetic fields ~ 10¹⁷- 10¹⁸ G



FERMION PAIRINGS







BCS Superconductivity

Mesons

Quarkyonic Chiral Spirals









COLOR-FLAVOR LOCKED PHASE



Rapp, Schafer, Shuryak and Velkovsky, PRL'98 Alford, Rajagopal and Wilczek, PLB '98

$$\langle \Psi_i^a C\gamma_5 \Psi_j^b \rangle = \Delta_{CFL} C\gamma_5 \epsilon^{abk} \epsilon^{ijk}$$

✓ All quark pair. No gapless fermions, no massless gluons.

One Gap:

- Color superconductivity is more robust than conventional superconductivity (no need to resort to phonons). Hence is a high Tc superconductor.
 - Chiral symmetry is broken in an unconventional way: through the locking of flavor and color symmetries.

 $SU_{C}(3) \times SU_{L}(3) \times SU_{R}(3) \times U_{B}(1) \rightarrow SU(3)_{C+L+R}$



ROTATED ELECTROMAGNETISM





ROTATED CHARGES



All $Q\operatorname{-charged}\operatorname{quarks}\operatorname{have}\operatorname{integer}\operatorname{charges}$



ROTATED CHARGES



All $Q\operatorname{-charged}\operatorname{quarks}\operatorname{have}\operatorname{integer}\operatorname{charges}$



ROTATED CHARGES



All $Q\operatorname{-charged}\operatorname{quarks}\operatorname{have}\operatorname{integer}\operatorname{charges}$



Color Superconductivity & B



GAPS and GAP STRUCTURE IN MCFL

Instead of one gap like in CFL, the magnetic field leads to three gap parameters:

 $\hat{\Delta}_{H} = \Delta_{H} C \gamma_{5}$ Gets contributions only of pairs of neutral quarks $\hat{\Delta} = \Delta C \gamma_5$ Gets contributions of pairs of charged & neutral quarks $\hat{\Delta}_M = i \Delta_M \gamma_5 \gamma_1 \gamma_2$ Magnetic moment condensate

Ferrer, VI, and Manuel, PRL'05, NPB '06; Feng, Ferrer, & VI NPB'11

GAPS and GAP STRUCTURE IN MCFL

Instead of one gap like in CFL, the magnetic field leads to three gap parameters:

 $\Delta_{H} = \Delta_{H} C \gamma_{5}$ Gets contributions only of pairs of neutral quarks $\widehat{\Delta} = \Delta C \gamma_5$ Gets contributions of pairs of charged & neutral quarks $\hat{\Delta}_M = i \Delta_M \gamma_5 \gamma_1 \gamma_2$ Magnetic moment condensate

Ferrer, VI, and Manuel, PRL'05, NPB '06; Feng, Ferrer, & VI NPB'11

Gaps and Magnetic Moment vs B



- The field induces Hass van Alphen oscillations in the parameters
- LLL effect: The MM becomes comparable to the other gaps at <u>large fields</u>, when the LLL fills out



MAGNETOELECTRIC EFFECT

Magnetoelectricity: The electric polarization depends on an applied magnetic field or the magnetization depends on an applied electric field. Occurs in multiferroic materials.

At <u>weak E and B fields</u>, the free energy can be expanded in powers of the fields, so the polarization can be written as

$$P_i = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial \widetilde{E}_i} = \alpha_i + \gamma_{ij}\widetilde{B}_j + 2\eta_{ij}\widetilde{E}_j + 2\kappa_{ijk}\widetilde{E}_j\widetilde{B}_k + \lambda_{ijk}\widetilde{B}_j\widetilde{B}_k + 2\sigma_{ijkl}\widetilde{E}_j\widetilde{B}_k\widetilde{B}_l + \dots$$

If tensor $\gamma \neq 0$, it is called the linear magnetoelectric effect.

At weak E but <u>strong</u> B, the free energy can be expanded in powers of E with coefficients that are functions of B,

$$F'(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}},\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}) = F'_0(\widetilde{B}) - \alpha'_i \widetilde{E}_i - \eta'_{ij} \widetilde{E}_i \widetilde{E}_j - \dots$$

If $\eta \neq 0$ the electric polarization will depend on the magnetic field, hence there is ME effect in the system. To find that coefficient we need to calculate the polarization operator

$$F'(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}},\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}) - F'_0(\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}) \sim \frac{1}{V} \int \widetilde{A}_0(x_3) \Pi_{00}(x_3 - x'_3) \widetilde{A}_0(x'_3) dx_3 dx'_3 = -\eta' \widetilde{E}^2,$$



Photon Polarization in MCFL

$$\begin{split} \Pi^{\mu\nu}(x,y) &= \frac{\tilde{e}^2}{2} \sum_{\tilde{Q}=\pm} Tr \left[\Gamma^{\mu} \mathcal{S}_{(\tilde{Q})}(x,y) \Gamma^{\nu} \mathcal{S}_{(\tilde{Q})}(y,x) \right] \\ \tilde{S}^l_{(\tilde{Q})}(\bar{p}^{(\tilde{Q})}) &= \begin{pmatrix} G^{+}_{(\tilde{Q})}{}^l(\bar{p}^{(\tilde{Q})}) & \Xi^{-}_{(\tilde{Q})}{}^l(\bar{p}^{(\tilde{Q})}) \\ \Xi^{+}_{(\tilde{Q})}{}^l(\bar{p}^{(\tilde{Q})}) & G^{-}_{(\tilde{Q})}{}^l(\bar{p}^{(\tilde{Q})}) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Gamma^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{Q}\gamma^{\mu} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{Q}\gamma^{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

In the strong field approximation only the LLL contributes

$$G_{(+)}^{\pm}{}^{l=0}(p^{\parallel}) = G_{(-)}^{\pm}{}^{l=0}(p^{\parallel}) = \sum_{e=\pm} \frac{p_0 \mp (\mu - ep_3)}{p_0^2 - [\epsilon_{p_3}^e]^2} \Lambda_{\mathbf{p}_3}^e \gamma_0$$

$$\Xi_{(+)}^{\pm \ l=0}(p^{\parallel}) = \Xi_{(-)}^{\pm \ l=0}(p^{\parallel}) = \pm \sum_{e=\pm} \frac{\Delta_0}{p_0^2 - [\epsilon_{p_3}^e]^2} \gamma_5 \Delta(+) \Lambda_{\mathbf{p}_3}^{\pm e}$$



 $\Delta_0 = \Delta_M - \Delta_B$

MCFL's Magnetoelectricity

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{00}(p_0 = 0, \, p_3 \to 0) &\cong -\frac{\tilde{e}^2(\tilde{e}\,\tilde{B})p_3^2}{6\pi^2\Delta_0^2} \\ \epsilon^\perp = 1, \qquad \epsilon_\parallel = 1 + \chi_\parallel = 1 + \frac{2\tilde{\alpha}|\tilde{e}\tilde{B}}{3\pi\Delta_0^2} \end{aligned}$$

No Debye screening

MCFL exhibits a Magnetoelectric Effect and a highly anisotropic electric polarization



The electric susceptibility decreases with the magnetic field. The coherence length of the pairs is $\sim 1/\Delta_0$ and hence decreases with the field. Since the dipole length is of the order of the coherence length, it weakens with increasing magnetic field.

Feng, Ferrer, & VI, PLB '12



INTERMEDIATE PHASES



Quarkyonic Matter?

Deryaguin, Grigoriev, Rubakov, '92; Shuster and Son;'00; Kojo, Hidaka, McLerran, Pisarski, '09 Ferrer, VI, Sanchez, '12



QUARKYONIC MATTER



Relevant at high density & large $\rm N_c$, where screening effects are negligible m_D << Λ_{QCD} << μ

Bulk Properties: perturbative Excitations at the Fermi surface: confined

Large N_c : Gluon Propagator unaffected by quarks (same as in confined vacuum). Gribov-Zwanziger propagator:

$$D_{44}^{AB}(k) = -\frac{8\pi}{C_F} \times \frac{\sigma}{(\vec{k}^2)^2} \, \delta^{AB} \qquad D_{ik}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \underbrace{\delta_{ik} - q_i q_k/q^2}_{\omega^2 + \mathbf{q}^2}$$
perturbative

Valid in the Coulomb gauge and for $|p| \leq \Lambda_{QCD}$

McLerran & Pisarski, NPA'07; Kojo, et al NPA'10



DIMENSIONAL REDUCTION at B=0

$$\Sigma(p) = -\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} D^{AB}_{\mu\nu}(p-k) (\gamma_{\mu}t_A) S(k) (\gamma_{\nu}t_B)$$

$$\Sigma(p) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{8\pi\sigma}{((\vec{p}-\vec{k})^2)^2} \gamma_4 S(k) \gamma_4$$



Can neglect p_{\perp} in the quark propagator, because in the patch at the Fermi surface $|p_{\perp}| < \Lambda_{QCD} << \mu$ and

$$ip_4pprox \delta p_z + {ec p_\perp^2\over 2\mu} + \dots$$
 then,

4D QCD in Coulomb gauge reduces to 1+1 D QCD in axial gauge $A_z=0$

$$\Sigma(p_4, p_z, \vec{0}_\perp) \simeq \frac{N_c g_{2D}^2}{2} \int \frac{dk_4 \, dk_z}{(2\pi)^2} \, \gamma_4 \, S(k_4, k_z, \vec{0}_\perp) \, \gamma_4 \, \frac{1}{(k_z - p_z)^2}$$

Deryagin, Grigoriev, & Rubakov '92; Shuster & Son, '99; Kojo et al, '10 21





Kojo, et.al NPA'10



Possible realization of QCS: Heavy ion collision experiments & neutron stars Both environments typically have very strong magnetic fields, $B \leq \Lambda_{QCD}^2$





POLAR PATCHES



One equation for each LL in the patch. The LLs become flavors *l*

$$\begin{split} \Sigma^{l}(p)\Pi(l) &= -i\tilde{g}^{2}\Pi(l)\int \frac{d^{2}q_{||}}{(2\pi)^{2}}\gamma_{0}\tilde{G}^{l}(\overline{p-q})\gamma_{0}\int \frac{d^{2}q_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{2}}\frac{e^{-\hat{q}_{\perp}^{2}}}{(q_{\perp}^{2}+q_{3}^{2})^{2}} \\ &= -i\tilde{g}^{2}\Pi(l)\int \frac{d^{2}q_{||}}{(2\pi)^{2}}\gamma_{0}\tilde{G}^{l}(\overline{p-q})\gamma_{0}\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{0}^{\Lambda^{2}}dq_{\perp}^{2}\frac{e^{-\hat{q}_{\perp}^{2}}}{(q_{\perp}^{2}+q_{3}^{2})^{2}} \\ &= -i\frac{\tilde{g}^{2}}{4\pi}\Pi(l)\int \frac{d^{2}q_{||}}{(2\pi)^{2}}\gamma_{0}\tilde{G}^{l}(\overline{p-q})\gamma_{0}\frac{1}{q_{3}^{2}} \end{split}$$

Only one spin contributes to the lowest LL

$$\Pi(l) = \Delta(+) + \Delta(-)(I - \delta_{l0})$$



EFFECTIVE (1+1)-D THEORY AT B+0

Assume qB $\leq (\Lambda_{QCD})^2$



1+1-D theory with Imax flavors

zero Landau level

$$\Phi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{0\uparrow} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

higher Landau levels I>0

$$\Phi_{l} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{l\uparrow} \\ \varphi_{l\downarrow} \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Chiral rotation} \quad \Phi_{l} = e^{(-i\mu z\Gamma_{5})}\Phi_{l}'\\ L = \overline{\Phi}_{0}[i\Gamma^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu} + ig_{2D}A_{\mu}) + \mu\Gamma^{0}]\Phi_{0} + \sum_{l=1}^{l_{max}} \overline{\Phi}_{l}[i\Gamma^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu} + ig_{2D}A_{\mu}) + \mu\Gamma^{0}]\Phi_{l}\\ = \overline{\Phi}_{0}'[i\Gamma^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu} + ig_{2D}A_{\mu})]\Phi_{0}' + \sum_{l=1}^{l_{max}} \overline{\Phi'}_{l}[i\Gamma^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu} + ig_{2D}A_{\mu})]\Phi_{l}'\\ \hline \overline{\Phi'}\Phi' \qquad \overline{\Phi'}\tau^{3}\Phi' \\ < \overline{\Phi} \ \tau_{3}\Phi > \\ \hline \textbf{Ferrer, V.I, and} \\ \text{Sanchez, arXiv:} \\ 1205.4492 \qquad < \overline{\Phi} \ i\Gamma^{5}\Phi > \\ < \overline{\Phi} \ i\Gamma^{5}\tau_{3}\Phi > \end{array}$$



Chiral Spirals at $B \neq 0$



Spiral is formed by chiral and electric dipole condensates

 $\langle \overline{\Phi} \tau^3 \Phi \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \psi i \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \psi \rangle$ Spiral is formed by pion and magnetic moment condensates $\langle \overline{\Phi} \tau^3 \Gamma^5 \Phi \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \overline{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi \rangle$

Generation of Parallel & Inhomogeneous Electric and Magnetic fields

Ferrer, V.I, and Sanchez, arXiv:1205.4492 Acta Phys. Pol. '12 27



Why the Double Spiral?

At B = 0
$$\overline{\Phi}' \Phi' = \overline{\varphi}'_{\uparrow} \varphi'_{\uparrow} + \overline{\varphi}'_{\downarrow} \varphi'_{\downarrow}$$

and $\overline{\Phi}' \tau^{3} \Phi' = \overline{\varphi}'_{\uparrow} \varphi'_{\uparrow} - \overline{\varphi}'_{\downarrow} \varphi'_{\downarrow} = 0$
At B \neq 0 $\overline{\Phi}' \Phi' = \overline{\varphi}'_{0\uparrow} \varphi'_{0\uparrow} + \sum_{l=1}^{l_{max}} [\overline{\varphi}'_{l\uparrow} \varphi'_{l\uparrow} + \overline{\varphi}'_{l\downarrow} \varphi'_{l\downarrow}]$
and $\overline{\Phi}' \tau^{3} \Phi' = [\overline{\varphi}'_{0\uparrow} \varphi'_{0\uparrow}] + \sum_{l=1}^{l_{max}} [\overline{\varphi}'_{l\uparrow} \varphi'_{l\uparrow} - \overline{\varphi}'_{l\downarrow} \varphi'_{l\downarrow}]$
The LLL makes it nonzero!! 28



EQUATORIAL PATCHES



The term $\sqrt{2|e_f B|l}$ enters as an effective mass, then avoiding the pole instability. Hence, no spiral condensate can be formed in the equatorial patches.



Color Superconductivity





✓ Effects on the symmetry and de Hass van Alphen oscillations appear at mid Bs (scale ~ Δ_{CFL}^2).

✓ Significant separation of the gaps requires large B (scale ~ μ^2).

✓ MM condensate relevance determined by the LLL occupancy (significant only at large B (scale ~ μ^2).

✓ Field effects important at mid fields: $B \sim \Lambda^2 << \mu^2$

- ✓ LLL essential to produce two QCS at the polar patches, but does not need to be $\sim \mu^2$
- ✓ QCS weakens at the lateral patches due to field-induced "effective mass" (2eBl).

✓ $2eBl \sim \mu^2 \Rightarrow$ no QCS at the equatorial patches.



- ✓ Most B effects connected to LLL effects (even if B is not the largest scale)
- ✓ Higher LLs can weakens condensation because of 2eBl
- \checkmark **B** \longrightarrow large anisotropic effects
- ✓ *Color Superconductivity- Magnetoelectricity*
- Chiral Spirals in B: Inhomogeneous electric and magnetic fields
 parallel to external B
- ✓ *Outlook:* Predicting <u>signatures</u> in stars and heavy-ion collisions



LOOKING TO THE FUTURE





